WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

			v.	ORDER O	DETENTION I ENDING TRIAL		
	Wilfredo Osorio			Case Number:	CR 09-00475-2-PHX-NVW		
	ordance stablished		Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 31 (Check one or both, as applicable.)	42(f), a detention hearing ha	s been held. I conclude that the following facts		
X	•	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
X	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.						
				TI FINDINGS OF FACT			
	(1)		efendant has been convicted or e if a circumstance giving rise to		local offense that would have been a federal ted) that is		
			a crime of violence as defined	I in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).			
			an offense for which the maxi	mum sentence is life impriso	nment or death.		
			an offense for which a maxim	um term of imprisonment of	ten years or more is prescribed in		
			a felony that was committed a described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142	Ifter the defendant had been $2(f)(1)(A)$ -(C), or comparable	convicted of two or more prior federal offenses state or local offenses.		
	(2)	The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federa state or local offense.					
	(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.					
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has rebutted this presumption.					
				Alternative Findings			
X	(1)	There	is probable cause to believe that	at the defendant has committ	ed an offense		
		X	for which a maximum term of	imprisonment of ten years of	r more is prescribed in 21 USC 84 (44(b) 2		
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
K	(2)	The c	lefendant has not rebutted the	presumption established by appearance of the defendant	finding 1 that no condition or combination of as required and the safety of the community.		
				Alternative Findings			
X	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably as the appearance of the defendant as required.			ombination of conditions will reasonably assure		
X	(2)	No co	ndition or combination of condit	ions will reasonably assure th	ne safety of others and the community.		
	(3)	, and the second					
	(4)						

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).
²Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Case 2:09-cr-00475-NVW Document 46 Filed 01/20/11 Page 2 of 3

PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)

	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:			
X	(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:			
	X	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.			
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	X	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of 10 years incarceration and a maximum of Life.			
X	The d	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:			
	That did	Fendant took issue with the characterization in the bail report the did not reside at the last listed residence and that he not work at the business he reported.			
[X]	In add	lition:			
	The defendant, though his Dunactims, has created substituted that the place of residence of on issues. I have no certainty as to where defendant has resided or what, is employment he has maintained. The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by time of the hearing in this matter.				

³ "The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing." 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 2:09-cr-00475-NVW Document 46 Filed 01/20/11 Page 3 of 3

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: <u>January 19, 2011</u>

JAMES F. METCALF United States Magistrate Judge